A pooled analysis of the PULSAR and PHOTON trials through 96 weeks: Minimal impact of aflibercept 8 mg and 2 mg on intraocular pressure changes

Sergio Leal,¹ Anat Loewenstein,² Diana V. Do,³ Paolo Lanzetta,⁴ Alyson J. Berliner,⁵ Karen W. Chu,⁵ Mark R. Barakat,⁶ Richard Gale,⁷ Ghassan Ghorayeb,⁸ Michael W. Stewart,⁹ Xin Zhang,¹ April McCullough,⁵ Claudia Tueckmantel,¹⁰ Peter Morgan-Warren,¹ Lynne Brunck,¹ Ajla Dupljak,⁵ Maggie Hymowitz,⁵ Anna Portnoy,⁵ Ursula M. Schmidt-Ott,¹¹ on behalf of the PULSAR and PHOTON study investigators

¹Bayer Consumer Care AG, Basel, Switzerland; ²Ophthalmology Division, Tel Aviv Medical Center, affiliated with the Faculty of Medicine Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ³Byers Eye Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA, USA; ⁴Department of Medicine – Ophthalmology, University of Udine, and Istituto Europeo di Microchirurgia Oculare – IEMO, Udine-Milan, Italy; ⁵Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA; ⁶Retina Macula Institute of Arizona, Spectra Eye Institute, University of Arizona College of Medicine, Phoenix, Scottsdale, AZ, USA; ⁷University of York and York and Scarborough Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, York, UK; ⁸Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA; ⁹Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Department of Ophthalmology, Mayo Clinic, Jacksonville, FL, USA; ¹⁰Bayer AG, Wuppertal, Germany; ¹¹Bayer AG, Berlin, Germany



- Acute increases in intraocular pressure (IOP) immediately following intravitreal injections of anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapies¹ are common and have been associated with a range of factors including needle gauge, drug properties, injection speed and volume.²⁻⁵ Most cases of increased IOP resolve without intervention⁶
- High-dose aflibercept 8 mg with extended dosing has demonstrated visual and anatomic benefits in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD) and diabetic macular edema (DME) from the PULSAR and PHOTON trials^{7,8}
- This analysis evaluated IOP increase and glaucoma-related outcomes in eyes receiving aflibercept 8 mg (70 µl) and 2 mg (50 µl) in the PULSAR (NCT04423718) and PHOTON (NCT04429503) trials through 96 weeks

Conclusions

- This post hoc analysis of pooled safety data through Week 96 from the PULSAR and PHOTON trials found **IOP** outcomes were comparable between aflibercept 8 mg and aflibercept 2 mg, with **no long-term IOP or** glaucoma-related TEAEs
- The incidence of IOP increase and glaucoma-related TEAEs was low in both treatment groups
- Few patients (≤0.8%) had pre- or post-injection IOP ≥35 mmHg at any visit with aflibercept 8 mg and aflibercept 2 mg
- The rates of anterior chamber paracentesis procedures were low and performed following <0.1% of injections administered in the aflibercept 8 mg group
- No clinically relevant difference in LS mean changes from pre- to post-injection IOP of aflibercept 8 mg vs aflibercept 2 mg (0.83 [95% CI 0.67, 0.99] mmHg) was observed
- This analysis helps to further demonstrate the **consistent** safety profile of aflibercept 8 mg vs aflibercept 2 mg, which is also supported by a recent study of early IOP dynamics⁹

Methods

- In the Phase 3 PULSAR trial, patients with nAMD were randomized 1:1:1 to receive aflibercept 2 mg every 8 weeks (2q8), or aflibercept 8 mg every 12 (8q12) or 16 (8q16) weeks after 3 initial monthly injections (**Figure 1**)
- In the Phase 2/3 PHOTON trial, patients with DME were randomized 1:2:1 to receive aflibercept 2q8 after 5 initial monthly injections or aflibercept 8q12 or 8q16 after 3 initial monthly injections (Figure 1)
- Patients with uncontrolled glaucoma in the study eye (defined as IOP) >25 mmHg despite treatment with anti-glaucoma medication [PULSAR] or ≥25 mmHg [PHOTON]) were ineligible
- The incidences of IOP increase or glaucoma-related treatmentemergent adverse events (TEAEs) from the PULSAR and PHOTON safety analysis sets were pooled through Week 96 and evaluated; for this analysis, data for the aflibercept 8q12 and 8q16 groups were combined

Figure 1: (A) Study design and (B) IOP assessment in PULSAR and PHOTON



tonometry, rebound tonometry Icare, or Tono-pen[™]). On days when study drug was administered, sites were permitted to follow their usual post-injection monitoring routine. Reported IOP was the last reading recorded before the patient was permitted to leave the study site. ^aAfter 3 (PULSAR) or 5 (PHOTON) initial monthly injections. ^bAfter 3 initial monthly injections. 2q8, aflibercept 2 mg every 8 weeks; 8q12, aflibercept 8 mg every 12 weeks; 8q16, aflibercept 8 mg every 16 weeks; BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; DME, diabetic macular edema; IOP, intraocular pressure; nAMD, neovascular age-related macular degeneration.

Results

- Overall, 1667 patients were included in the safety analysis set. Baseline characteristics were balanced between treatment groups (Table 1)
- At baseline, mean (standard deviation [SD]) IOP was comparable for the aflibercept 2 mg (15.1 [3.0] mmHg) and aflibercept 8 mg (15.0 [3.2] mmHg) groups
- The proportion of patients with glaucoma-related medical history in the study eye was comparable across aflibercept treatment groups (aflibercept 2 mg: 8.2%; aflibercept 8 mg: 7.6% of patients)
- At Week 96, mean pre-injection IOP values were similar across treatment groups, with no sustained increase in IOP observed or trend toward increased IOP over time (**Figure 2**)

Results

- The least squares (LS) mean change from pre- to post-injection IOP was comparable for patients in the pooled 2 mg and 8 mg groups with a difference of 0.83 (95% CI 0.67, 0.99) mmHg for 8 mg vs 2 mg (**Figure 3**)
- Through Week 96, the proportion of patients with a pre- or post-injection IOP \geq 35 mmHg was low (\leq 0.8%) and comparable across the treatment groups (Table 2)
- The rate of anterior chamber paracentesis procedures performed through Week 96 was comparable across the treatment groups (aflibercept 2 mg, 0 procedures; aflibercept 8 mg, 5 [0.4%] procedures) and performed in 9/9762 (<0.1%) of injections administered in the aflibercept 8 mg group
- Rates of IOP- or glaucoma-related TEAEs were low and comparable across groups through Week 96 (Table 3)

Table 1: Baseline demographics, disease characteristics, and
 aflibercept exposure

			squares; SD, standard deviation.		
	Aflibercept 2 mg pooled	Aflibercept 8 mg pooled (n=1164)	Table 2: IOP ≥35 mmHg through Week 96 in the study eye		
Baseline demographics	(n=503)			Aflibercept 2 mg pooled (n=503)	Aflibercept 8 mg pooled (n=1164)
Female, n (%)	263 (52.3)	544 (46.7)			
Age group, n (%)			Pre- or post-injection IOP ≥35 mmHg, n (%)	4 (0.8)	6 (0.5)
<65 years	137 (27.2)	346 (29.7)	Pre-injection IOP ≥35 mmHg, n (%)	1 (0.2)	2 (0.2)
≥65– <75 years	180 (35.8)	425 (36.5)	Post-injection IOP ≥35 mmHg, n (%)	3 (0.6)	4 (0.3)
≥75 years	186 (37.0)	393 (33.8)	Safety analysis set. IOP, intraocular pressure.		
White, n (%)	361 (71.8)	875 (75.2)			
Hispanic or Latino, n (%)	43 (8.5)	104 (8.9)	Iable 3: IOP- and glaucoma-related IEA	AEs in the study	y eye throug
Baseline disease characteristics			VVeek 96		
Mean (SD) IOP, mmHg	15.1 (3.0)	15.0 (3.2)		Aflibercent	Aflibercent
Medical history of IOP increase/glaucoma, n (%)	41 (8.2)	88 (7.6)		2 mg pooled (n=503)	8 mg pooleo (n=1164)
Aflibercept exposure					
Total number of injections	6159	9762	Patients with 21 IEAE, n (%)	22 (4.4)	53 (4.6)
			Angle closure glaucoma	1 (0.2)	2(0.2)

satety analysis set. Data are in the study eye. IOP, intraocular pressure; SD, standard deviatior



Acknowledgments

The PULSAR and PHOTON trials were co-sponsored by Bayer AG (Leverkusen, Germany) and Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Tarrytown, NY). The sponsor participated in the design and conduct of the study, analysis of the data, and preparation of this poster. Medical writing support, under the direction of the authors, was provided by ApotheCom and funded by Bayer Consumer Care AG, Basel, Switzerland, in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP) standards (Ann Intern Med. 2022;175:1298–1304).



Scan the QR code to access the ARVO 2025 planner and abstracts



Safety analysis set through Week 96. Active dosing visits. CI, confidence interval; IOP, intraocular pressure; LS, least

	Aflibercept 2 mg pooled (n=503)	Aflibercept 8 mg pooled (n=1164)	
Patients with ≥1 TEAE, n (%)	22 (4.4)	53 (4.6)	
Angle closure glaucoma	1 (0.2)	2 (0.2)	
Borderline glaucoma	0	2 (0.2)	
Glaucoma	1 (0.2)	6 (0.5)	
Intraocular pressure increased	17 (3.4)	34 (2.9)	
Ocular hypertension	3 (0.6)	12 (1.0)	
Open angle glaucoma	1 (0.2)	1 (<0.1)	

Safety analysis set. IOP, intraocular pressure; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event

Disclosures

Sergio Leal: Employee investor and patent holder Bayer Consumer Care AG.

References

- Levin AM, et al. J Glaucoma. 2021;30:1019–1026.
- 2. Grzybowski A, et al. Ophthalmologica. 2018;239:181–193.
- 3. Bracha P, et al. Surv Ophthalmol. 2018;63:281–295.
- 4. The Royal College of Ophthalmologists. Intravitreal injection therapy 2018. Available at: <u>https://www.rcophth.ac.uk/resources-listing/intravitreal-injection-therapy/</u>. Accessed April 9, 2025.
- 5. Wilson et al. How to give intravitreal injections. Available at: https://www.aao.org/eyenet/article/how-to-give-intravitreal-injections. Accessed April 9, 2025.
- 6. Lee JW, et al. BMC Ophthalmol. 2016;16:69.
- 7. Lanzetta P, et al. *Lancet*. 2024;403:1141–1152.
- 8. Brown DM, et al. Lancet. 2024;403:1153–1163.
- 9. Sarao V, et al. Eye. 2025;doi: 10.1038/s41433-025-03765-7.

Presented at The Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) 2025 Annual Meeting, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, May 4–8, 2025